

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

\*Total of \_\_\_\_\_ forms are submitted.

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
o a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a		Docket Number (Optional)	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		001062	
		001002	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	Application Number		Filed
	09/643,912		8/23/00
on	First Named Inventor		
Signature	ASAMI ET AL.		
	Art Unit E		Examiner
Typed or printed name	3748		Tu Minh Nguyen
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed			
with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
This request is being med with a notice of appears			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
Hote. No more than into (o) pages may be premated			
1 am the			( -
applicant/inventor.			Signature
assignee of record of the entire interest.	Ryan B. Chirnomas		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed or printed name		
XX attorney or agent of record.	20	02-822-1100	
Registration number		Telephone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	_Se	September 12, 2005	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.			
Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



In re the Application of: ASAMI et al. Group Art Unit: 3748

Serial Number: 09/643,912 Examiner: Tu Minh Nguyen

Filed: August 23, 2000 Confirmation Number: 9494

For: CATALYST WARMING CONTROL APPARATUS

Attorney Docket Number: 001062
Customer Number: 38834

Date: September 12, 2005

## PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **REMARKS**

Claims 5 and 8 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 5 and 8, which form the basis for this Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review, stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kojima** (U.S. Patent No.6,253,866) in view of **Tsukamoto et al.** (U.S. Patent No. 5,771,478). The Office Action was made final, despite the fact that the rejection was based on newly-cited art and that no claim amendments were made in the preceding two responses. It is the position of the Office Action that **Kojima** discloses the invention as claimed except for the disclosure of a clutch. **Tsukamoto** is relied upon to provide the teaching which **Kojima** lacks.

Attorney Docket No. 001062

The issue presented for review is whether or not Tsukamoto discloses a clutch as

required by claim 5. Claim 5 specifically requires:

a clutch for performing the connection or disconnection of the transmission of

power between the generator connected to the engine, and the motor.

This clutch for performing the "connection or disconnection of the transmission of power" is

required in order to establish a prima facie rejection.

For at least the following reasons, the position of the Office Action, that Tsukamoto

discloses a clutch for performing the connection or disconnection of the transmission of power

between the generator connected to the engine and the motor, is a clear error of fact, and not a

question of interpretation of the claims or prior art teachings. Therefore, the rejection of claims 5

and 8 should be withdrawn.

It is the position of the Office Action dated April 15, 2005 and the Advisory Action dated

August 3, 2005 that Tsukamoto teaches "a clutch for performing connection or disconnection of

the transmission of power between the generator connected to the engine and the motor."

The Advisory Action acknowledges that Tsukamoto discloses a planetary gear box 16

linking the engine 11 to the motor/generator M1. It is correctly noted that the vehicle drive

system is arranged such that ring gear R of planetary gear set 16 is connected to rotor 51 of the

generator/motor M1, and the sun gear S is connected to engine output shaft 12 of internal

combustion engine 11. It is also correctly noted that a clutch CL is present in the planetary gear

set 16. Please see column 10, lines 42-51 and Figure 3.

Page 2

Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review Serial No. 09/643,912 Attorney Docket No. 001062

However, the clear error lies in the suggestion that the clutch CL of **Tsukamoto** is "for performing the connection or disconnection of the transmission of power between the generator connected to the engine, and the motor." In fact, the clutch CL of **Tsukamoto** actually discloses a clutch of a different type. This clutch *never disconnects* the transmission of power between the generator connected to the internal combustion engine 11 and the generator/motor M1.

In its preferred application, **Tsukamoto** discloses the use of a clutch as a coupling device in which the ring gear R and sun gear S are "locked together." Alternatively, **Tsukamoto** discloses the locking of the ring gear R and carrier C together or the locking of carrier C and sun gear S together. Please see column 10, lines 52-60. When the clutch is engaged, any two of these gear elements (R and S; R and C; or C and S) are locked together and thus rotate together. When the clutch is disengaged, the gears are unlocked and the system works as a conventional planetary gear set, whereby the gears move at different speeds. In other words, regardless of whether or not the coupling clutch CL is engaged, there is *always* a transmission of power between the generator connected to internal combustion engine 11 and the motor/generator M1 of **Tsukamoto**. Thus, there is never a *disconnection* of power between the generator connected to internal combustion engine 11 and the generator M1, only a difference in the force multiplication or division of the permanent connection.

In summary, Applicants respectfully submit that the clear error is the suggestion that **Tsukamoto** discloses "a clutch for performing the connection or disconnection of the transmission of power between the generator connected to the engine, and the motor," as required by claim 5. Instead, **Tsukamoto** actually discloses a clutch which never performs a

Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review Serial No. 09/643,912 Attorney Docket No. 001062

disconnection of power between internal combustion engine 11 and generator/motor M1. Because a *prima facie* rejection requires all elements of the claims to be present, it is submitted that the rejection over **Kojima** in view of **Tsukamoto** does not present a *prima facie* case for rejecting claims 5 and 8.

In view of the remarks set forth above, Applicants submit that the rejection is improper. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and that present claims 5 and 8 be passed on to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

yan B. Chirnomas
Agent for Applicants

Registration No. 56,527 Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

RBC/meu